

Guide to Reports



Spring 2011

Table of Contents

| Introduction | 3 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Spring 2011 MME Report List | 5 |
| Section 1: Scoring | 6 |
| Definitions | 6 |
| Item Scores (MME) | 6 |
| Multiple Choice Item Scores (MME) | 6 |
| Constructed Response Item Scores (MME) | 6 |
| Scale Scores (MME) | 7 |
| Subscores (MME) | 7 |
| Performance Levels (MME) | |
| ACT Scores | 8 |
| WorkKeys Scores | 8 |
| MME Score Categories and Scale Score Ranges | 9 |
| Section 2: Explaining the Michigan Merit Examination Scale Score | 10 |
| What is the relationship between ACT, WorkKeys, and MME scores? | 10 |
| What is the relationship between the number of points earned on the MME and the scale score? | 10 |
| Spring 2011 MME Contributing Components | 12 |
| High School Content Expectations and the MME | 13 |
| Section 3: Report Descriptions | 14 |
| Reading and Writing Student Roster | 15 |
| Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies Student Rosters | 17 |
| Student Record Label | 21 |
| Parent Report | 23 |
| Individual Student Report | 27 |
| Demographic Report | 29 |
| Summary Report | 33 |
| Comprehensive Report | 36 |
| Acronyms/Glossary | 39 |
| Contact Information | 40 |

Introduction

The Michigan Merit Examination (MME) is used to assess Grade 11 and eligible Grade 12 students on Michigan's reading, writing, mathematics, science, and social studies high school content standards and expectations. The MME has three distinct components:

- 1) the ACT Plus Writing college entrance examination
- 2) WorkKeys job skills assessments in Reading for Information, Applied Mathematics, and Locating Information
- 3) Michigan-specific assessments in mathematics, science, and social studies.

Each component is administered on a different day. The ACT Plus Writing component is administered on Day 1, the WorkKeys component is administered on Day 2, and the Michigan component is administered on Day 3.

This guide was developed to assist educators in understanding and using the Spring 2011 Michigan Merit Examination (MME) results. The reports prepared for the MME include both individual-level reports (Parent Reports, Individual Student Reports, Student Rosters, and Student Record Labels) and aggregate-level reports (Demographic Reports, Summary Reports, and Comprehensive Reports). Schools must distribute the MME Parent Reports to students' parents or guardians as soon as possible when the printed reports are received.

The aggregate reports are intended to reflect the data needed to meet the expectations of state and federal legislation. In accordance with these mandates, separate aggregate results are provided for the following three student populations:

- 1) all students
- 2) students with disabilities
- 3) all except students with disabilities

Printing Reports

Printing Options

Reports included in the district and school packets are listed in the If you do not receive printed reports, or cannot access the electable on the next page. Included in the table is a brief description of each report, a list of the student populations represented in the report, and the report recipients. Detailed descriptions and key components of the reports are provided in Section 3 of this document.

Districts have two printing options:

- 1.) The full print option, (all available reports); or
- 2.) The "green" option (default)

The green option reduces the number printed reports to individual student reports, parent reports, and student records labels only. The printing option was selected at the district level; or if no option was selected by your district representative, the green option was selected automatically. Regardless of the selection, all reports continue to be available on the BAA Secure Site (www.michigan.gov/oeaa-secure) under the "Reports" tab by selecting "Student Test Scores."

The Bureau of Assessment and Accountability (BAA) welcomes your comments and feedback. We are committed to providing Michigan students, educators, parents, and other stakeholders an assessment program of the highest quality and reliability.

Print Suppression

tronic reports from the BAA Secure Site for all student and agaregate groups, the reasons may be:

- Invalid student scores Students who have been marked as Prohibitive Behavior, Non-standard accommodation, not flagged as Special Education in the Michigan Student Database System (MSDS), test misadministration, or out-of-level testing would not receive a valid score or reports.
- Aggregate reports are not produced if the number of students assessed is below 10 for a content area.
- The threshold for printing reports is 10 or more students in each content area on the aggregated reports. The print suppression rule will apply to those content areas below 10.
- Schools may also have unpaid fees for missing barcode labels. Unpaid fees suppress the reports for all current and future administrations. Once payment in full is received by the contractor, the reports are released.
- If you received only parent reports, individual student reports and student labels, it is likely that the district did not choose the green reporting option and had it selected as the default mentioned earlier.

Spring 2011 MME Report List

| Reports* | TypeSent To | Report Description | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Student Roster (by subject)† | Student School | MME scale scores, performance levels, and subscores for each student on the roster (reading, writing, mathematics and science subscores are reported by standard; social studies subscores are reported by domain) | | | | | | |
| Student Record Label | Student School | MME scale scores and performance levels by subject in label format for student record folders | | | | | | |
| Parent Report | Student School | MME scale scores, performance levels, and standard/domain subscores by subject, as well as ACT and WorkKeys scores | | | | | | |
| Individual Student Report | Student School | MME scale scores, performance levels, and standard/domain subscores by subject, as well as ACT and WorkKeys scores. | | | | | | |
| Demographic Report**† | School | istrict District formance level by subject (for demographic subgroups with 10 or mo | | | | | | |
| Summary Report**† | School School, District District District State*** | MME mean scale scores, the percentage of students attaining each performance level by subject, points possible, and the percentage of students attaining each raw score range in each standard/domain. | | | | | | |
| Comprehensive Report**† | District District ISD*** | MME mean scale scores and the percentage of students attaining each performance level by subject. District reports display one row of data for the district and one row for each school in the district. ISD reports display one row of data for the ISD and one row for each district and PSA in the ISD. | | | | | | |

^{*}All reports present data broken out by subject. MME domain or standard subscores are presented where applicable.

**Separate reports are produced for three groups: 1) all students, 2) students with disabilities, and 3) all except students with disabilities.

***Reports are produced only in PDF form for retrieval from the BAA Secure Site.

†Reports will NOT be provided in hard copy if the district selected the "green" print option (all reports are available on the BAA Secure Site).

Section 1: Scoring

Definitions

Item Scores (MME)

There are two types of items on the MME, Multiple Choice (MC) and Constructed Response (CR) items. Item scores are used to report subscores for each content standard assessed in reading, writing, mathematics, and science. Social studies subscores are reported at the domain level. Item scores are also used in the statistical models and transformations that result in scale scores. The statistical models used to create MME scale scores are indifferent as to whether the items come from the ACT, WorkKeys, or Michigan components.

Multiple Choice Item Scores (MME)

The majority of the MME is comprised of MC items, where students selects a single choice from the available options, only one of which is a correct response to the item. Students who select the correct option receive a score of one (1) on a multiple choice item, versus those who select one of the incorrect options, select multiple options, or do not respond at all will receive a score of zero (0).

To improve the alignment of the MME with the Michigan high school content standards, only select items from the ACT and WorkKeys components, along with all operational items from the Michigan component, contribute toward the MME subject scores. The string of responses from the multiple choice items (e.g. 1,0,0,0,1,...,1) serve as input for the statistical models used to derive scale scores. See Section 2 for a detailed explanation on how the MME scale score is derived. Multiple Choice items are scanned and scored by computer, whereas Constructed Response items are handscored using highly qualified scorers and strict procedures.

Due to the security requirements of the ACT and WorkKeys assessments, MC item scores are not reported at the individual item level.

Constructed Response Item Scores (MME)

The ACT writing prompt is the one CR item on the MME. On this item, students are presented with a prompt indicating what they should write about. ACT, Inc is responsible for scoring the writing prompt. The writing prompt and scoring rubric are proprietary information of ACT, Inc. Attainable scores range from 2-12 for scored responses. If the constructed response was not scored by ACT, see the Spring 2011 MME Student Data File field "ACTWritingIndicatorProblem" for the reason code. In addition, the student roster provides constructed response comment codes for ACT Writing. These codes can also be found in the student data file in fields, "ACTRater-CommentCode1" - "ACTRaterCommentCode4". Further information on ACT comment or condition codes can be obtained from ACT. Inc.

A file layout for the Spring 2011 MME Student Data File is located on the BAA Secure Site (www.michigan.gov/oeaa-secure) under Student Test Scores, Report Descriptions, once the 'Spring 2011 MME' test cycle has been selected.

Scale Scores (MME)

MME scale scores are created from statistical scoring models that make use of each student's responses to selected Multiple Choice (MC) items and the Constructed Response (CR) item, where applicable. The purpose is to model students' overall achievement on each subject based on the Michigan high school content standards. MME scale scores are equated from year to year, and form to form. Differences in the difficulty of items from one year to the next, or from one form to the next, are accounted for in the calculations of the scale score for the current test cycle. Therefore, MME scale scores from the same subject can be compared against each other regardless of the form of the MME the student took.

The MME scale scores are explained in greater detail in Section 2 of this Guide to Reports.

Subscores (MME)

MME subscores are reported as the number of points earned in a particular high school content standard (e.g. E2 Earth Systems, B4 Genetics). Unlike scale scores, the subscores are not equated from year to year and are sample/item dependent. As a result, subscores cannot be compared from year to year. In addition, the difficulty of items from one content standard may be very different than the items from another content standard, so it is not appropriate to compare subscores from different content standards within the same year.

Subscores from within the same subject can be reasonably interpreted in relation to the average subscore. For example, when a student scores far above the average subscore on one standard, but far below the average subscore on another standard, it is reasonable to interpret the scores as indicating that the student has greater needs in the standard where he or she scored far below average.

NOTE: In Spring 2008, science subscores were reported for the five domains. Since Spring 2009, science subscores are reported by the 16 high school science content standards.

Performance Levels (MME)

MME scale scores within each subject area can be described in ranges. The labels applied to these ranges are known as performance levels. The MME performance levels are: (1) Advanced, (2) Proficient, (3) Partially Proficient, and (4) Not Proficient. The divisions between the levels are often referred to as cut scores.

The cut scores are recommended by a panel comprised of educators and other stakeholders throughout the state in a process known as standard setting. To set these standards, the panel uses detailed descriptions of what students in each of the performance levels should know and be able to demonstrate.

Based upon these detailed descriptions and actual assessment items, the panel recommends the score that best separates each performance level from the next to the Michigan Superintendent of Public Instruction. The Superintendent of Public Instruction then recommends the results of the standard setting (or modifications of these standards) to the Michigan State Board of Education (SBE). The SBE is the authority who approves the final cut scores and performance level ranges. While the performance level descriptors necessarily differ by subject area, student achievement (as defined by the obtained performance level) can be reasonably compared across subjects. Such a comparison could be used to indicate whether students are meeting Michigan performance expectations in each subject.

ACT Scores

The ACT composite score is an overall college readiness score that is created from the ACT scores in English, reading, mathematics, and science. The scoring range for the ACT is 1 to 36 for English, reading, mathematics, science, and for the overall (or composite) score. The ACT writing score is derived from the scores on the writing prompt administered as an additional ACT component. It is scored from 2-12 for student responses that are able to be scored, and is scored as dashes (--) for responses that are not able to be scored. See the Spring 2011 MME Student Data File field "ACTWritingIndicatorProblem" for the condition code.

Students who tested with a state-allowed accommodation (rather than an ACT-approved accommodation) will received ACT scores; however, these scores are not college-reportable. These students' individual student and parent reports will indicate their scores are not college-reportable and these students will not receive a separate score report from ACT. An ACT results letter for each student testing with state-allowed accommodations will be sent to high school principals the end of September 2011.

WorkKeys Scores

The WorkKeys score categories are: <3, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 for the Applied Mathematics and Reading for Information WorkKeys tests, and <3, 3, 4, 5, and 6 for the Locating Information test. Each score category represents a described level of performance and is an indicator of work readiness. The WorkKeys scale cannot reliably distinguish between students scoring less than a 3. For this reason, a <3 symbol is reported for students with scores of less than 3 (in the student data file a zero is used to indicated a student has not yet attained a score of 3 or higher). More information regarding the WorkKeys test and scores can be found on ACT's website at www.act.org/workkeys.

If a student achieves a score of 5 or higher on all three WorkKeys tests, the student is eligible for a Gold National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC). A student achieving a score of 4 or higher on all three tests, is eligible for a Silver NCRC, and a score of 3 or higher on all tests, is eligible for a Bronze NCRC. Starting in 2011, ACT introduced the Platinum Level of the Certificate, which requires a score of 6 or higher on each of the three WorkKeys tests. Qualified ELL students who received translation assistance, in a language other than English, for WorkKeys test items are not eligible for the NCRC. For more information on the NCRC, please visit www.myworkkeys.com.

MME Score Categories and Scale Score Ranges

| | Michigan Merit Examination (MME) Score Categories and Scale Score Ranges Spring 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | | | | | | |
| SUBJECT | Not Proficient | Partially Proficient | Proficient | Advanced | | | | | | |
| Reading | (950-1077) | (1078-1099) | (1100-1157) | (1158-1250) | | | | | | |
| Writing | (950-1050) | (1051-1099) | (1100-1145) | (1146-1250) | | | | | | |
| Mathematics | (950-1088) | (1089-1099) | (1100-1127) | (1128-1250) | | | | | | |
| Science | (950-1086) | (1087-1099) | (1100-1142) | (1143-1250) | | | | | | |
| Social Studies | (950-1085) | (1086-1099) | (1100-1128) | (1129-1250) | | | | | | |

Section 2: Explaining the Michigan Merit Examination Scale Score

There are two important questions about the Michigan Merit Examination (MME) that are answered in this section:

- 1. What is the relationship between ACT, WorkKeys, and MME scores?
- 2. What is the relationship between the number of points earned on the MME and the scale score?

What is the relationship between ACT, WorkKeys, and MME scores?

Students who take the MME receive separate ACT and WorkKeys scores that are based on a separate scoring system that is proprietary information of ACT, Inc. The overall MME score is derived from a selected set of contributing test items answered by each student for each subject, regardless of where those test items come from (i.e., the ACT, WorkKeys, or Michigan components). A table showing the test components that contributed to each MME subject score is included at the end of this section for your reference.

What is the relationship between the number of points earned on the MME and the scale score?

On the old high school MEAP assessment, there was a table for each subject area that described a one-to-one relationship between the number of points earned by a student and the scale score earned by the student. This one-to-one relationship between points earned and scale score is a by-product of the statistical scoring model used for scoring the high school MEAP assessment.

That scoring model worked relatively well for the high school MEAP assessment, but is problematic for the MME for two reasons:

- 1. The items on the MME tend to be significantly harder than the items on the high school MEAP assessment. The increased difficulty tends to lead to higher levels of guessing on items by students. The scoring model for the high school MEAP assessment did not account for guessing behavior.
- 2. The items on the MME vary widely in their ability to distinguish between students with high and low achievement. Therefore, some items give significantly more information about the level of achievement of individual students than other items. The variation in the information provided by each item was not incorporated in the high school MEAP assessment scoring model.

Inaccurate scores could occur for a significant number of students if these realities were not accounted for. Therefore, a different statistical scoring model has been applied to the MME. This model takes into account the increased level of guessing on the MME.

It also incorporates differences in information about student achievement provided by different items. This model is well-researched, well-validated, and well-implemented in many testing programs.

In this more sophisticated model, there is still a strong relationship between the number of points earned and the scale score received by an individual student, but it is no longer a one-to-one (linear) relationship. Students who earn the same number of points will not necessarily have the same scale score, although the scale scores will be similar. Three concrete examples are given below showing how this can occur:

Jim and Sue both earned 40 out of 50 points, but Sue earned a higher scale score. For the most part, both Jim and Sue got the same items right and wrong, but there were some items where they differed. The items that only Sue answered correctly tended to be much more difficult than the items that only Jim answered correctly. As a result, Sue's scale score was higher than Jim's.

Jane and John both earned 25 out of 50 points, but Jane earned a higher scale score. For the most part, both John and Jane got the same items right and wrong, but there were some items where they differed. The few items that only Jane answered correctly provided more insight into whether a student is a high achiever. The items that only John answered correctly were less informative about students' level of achievement. Therefore, Jane's scale score was slightly higher than John's.

Betty and Bill both earned 29 out of 50 points, but Bill earned a higher scale score. For the most part, both Bill and Betty got the same items right and wrong, but there were some items where they differed. The few items that only Betty answered correctly had correct answers that were relatively easy to guess. On the other hand, the items that only Bill answered correctly had correct answers that were quite difficult to guess. Therefore, Bill's scale score was slightly higher than Betty's.

In the MME scoring model, it is the pattern of correct and incorrect responses that determines a student's scale score rather than the number of points earned by that student. This reflects that there are many different ways to earn the same number of points, some of which indicate greater achievement than others.

In relation to scoring models, the high school MEAP assessment used a simple Item Response Theory (IRT) model: the Rasch Partial Credit (1-parameter) model. In contrast, the MME uses a more sophisticated IRT model: the Generalized Partial Credit Model (GPCM). There were two strong reasons for selecting the GPCM over the 1-parameter model.

First, the ACT items tend to be more difficult than the items on the former high school MEAP assessment, and therefore, students are more likely to guess on those items. The more sophisticated model adjusts to some degree for guessing behavior (but it does not penalize students for guessing).

Second, with the former high school MEAP assessment, the Bureau of Assessment and Accountability (BAA) was able to control the construction of the test to maximize fit to the Rasch model, which makes a strong assumption that all items in an assessment are equally related to overall achievement. With the MME, approximately half of the items contributing to each subject score lie outside the control of BAA, and the fit to the Rasch model cannot be maximized through regular test construction practices. The more sophisticated model incorporates the degree to which individual items are related to the overall set of items being used to measure student achievement rather than making the assumption that all items are equally informative about student achievement.

Spring 2011 MME Contributing Components

The table below identifies the components of the Michigan Merit Examination (MME) that a student must take to get a valid score for each of the MME content areas. For example, if the student and school want to get a mathematics score, the student must take the ACT mathematics assessment, the WorkKeys Applied Mathematics and Locating Information assessments, AND the Michigan Mathematics assessment. The most valid scores are obtained by students who do their best on all assessment components.

Not participating in any session will make it impossible to obtain a valid score for one or more subjects of the MME. This affects the student's ACT, WorkKeys, and MME scores, and the school/district AYP 95% participation and performance, and the EdYES! accountability scores. All students should be encouraged to participate in all sessions and to do their best on all items.

| | MME Cor | mponents and Section | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| MME Day | MME Component | Sections | Reading | Writing | Mathematics | Science | Social Studies |
| | | English | | S | | | |
| | | Mathematics | | | S | | |
| Day 1 | ACT Plus Writing | Reading | S | | | | |
| | | Science | | | | S | |
| | | Writing | | Α | | | |
| | | Reading for Information | S | | | | |
| Day 2 | WorkKeys | Applied Mathematics | | | S | | |
| | | Locating Information | | | S | | S |
| | | Mathematics | | | Α | | |
| Day 3 | Michigan Component | Science | | | | Α | |
| | | Social Studies | | | | | А |

Note: The shaded area shows the sections in each component that contribute to a student's MME score in each subject area. An "A" means all operational items in that section contribute to the student's MME score, and an "S" means select items in that section contribute to the MME score.

High School Content Expectations and the MME

Information regarding the Michigan High School Content Expectations (HSCE) can be found at www.michigan.gov/highschool. The assignment of MME-assessable HSCE is explained in the document titled 'Expectations for Success with the Michigan Merit Exam,' which delineates the content eligible for inclusion on the MME. This document can be accessed though the following link: www.mi.gov/documents/mde/SMALL Expectations for Success With the MME Final 9-16-09 292382 7.pdf

Section 3: Report Descriptions

Michigan Merit Examination Sample Reports Spring 2011

The sample reports included in this Guide to Reports are intended to provide examples of the report formats, data organization, and types of information contained in each report.

These sample reports were printed prior to availability of real data. Data contained in these sample reports do not refer to any specific district, school, assessment item, or any specific student.

Reading and Writing Student Roster

The Student Roster provides detail information for each student assessed, reported by class or group. The detail information includes student scores for each high school content standard assessed within each subject area. Page numbers are printed in the center at the bottom of each report page. A sample Reading and Writing Student Roster is presented on the following page.

Section A identifies the title of the report, the grade level reported, the assessment cycle, and the subject area. The teacher name and class/group code (if provided by the school), the school name and code, and the district name and code are also reported.

Section B lists each student's name followed by their unique identification code (UIC) and date of birth (DOB). The number of students being reported is also provided.

Section C provides information for reading and writing detailed by student:

- Scale Score
- Performance Level
- The following information by high school content standard (e.g., R2.1 Strategy, R2.2 Meaning, etc.):
 - ♦ Number of possible points
 - Number of points earned by the student
- The following information for the ACT constructed response item:
 - ♦ Score (constructed response score points)
 - ♦ Comment or condition codes

ACT writing score points are included in the W1.3 Purpose and Audience subscore.

NOTE: "NA" in the Performance Level column indicates that the student did NOT receive a valid MME score in that subject area and does NOT count as assessed for AYP. Any of the five issues listed below will result in the student receiving an MME score that is NOT valid:

- 1) student received a **nonstandard accommodation** during test administration (standard subscore data will be reported)
- 2) student did not meet attemptedness in one or more of the required components for that subject
- 3) student was dismissed for **prohibited behavior** during the test administration
- 4) student was involved in a test **misadministration** on the part of the school
- 5) student did not include the form code on their answer document (answer document could not be scored)



STUDENT ROSTER A Grade 11



Spring 2011

READING and WRITING

Class/Group: 9999

School Name : SAMPLE SCHOOL

School Code: 99999

| | | | MI | ME Re | ading | ı | | | | | MN | /IE Wr | iting | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Audience | arch | ge | | ACT Writing | |
| | | Scale Score | Performance Level | R2.1 Strategy | R2.2 Meaning | R2.3 Independent | L3.1 Close Reading | Scale Score | Performance Level | W1.1 Writing Process | W1.3 Purpose and Aud | W1.4 Inquiry and Research | LAN4.1 Use of Language | Score** | Comment/ Condition Codes | |
| | Possible Points | | | 18 | 15 | 12 | 6 | | | 15 | 27 | 2 | 13 | 12 | | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 955 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 950 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 20 | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1091 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1070 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 21, 32 | |
| B | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1074 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 1074 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 21, 32 | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1066 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1058 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 32 | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1083 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1078 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 33 | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1076 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1063 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 20, 31, 61 | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1067 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 1058 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 33, 42 | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1113 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1089 | 3 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 21, 32, 52 | |
| - | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1157 | 2 | 17 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 1145 | 2 | 12 | 23 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 24, 34 | |
| • | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1107 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 1100 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 32 | |
| • | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1119 | 2 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 1096 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 42 | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | NA | NA | | | | | NA | NA | | | | | | (C) | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1119 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 1096 | 3 | 5 | 18 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 42 | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1067 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 1096 | 3 | 7 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 23, 24 | |
| | No. of Students Reported = 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

 Performance Level
 Reading Scale Score Range
 Writing Scale Score Range

 1 - Advanced
 (1158 - 1250)
 (1146 - 1250)

 1 - Advanced
 (1158 - 1250)
 (1146 - 1250)

 2 - Proficient
 (1100 - 1157)
 (1100 - 1145)

 3 - Partially Proficient
 (1078 - 1099)
 (1051 - 1099)

 4 - Not Proficient
 (950 - 1077)
 (950 - 1050)

NA - Score Data unavailable in this subject for this student because valid data for one or more components of the subject are not available. See Guide to Reports for more information.

* Student does not count as assessed due to a nonstandard accommodation.

** The ACT Writing score is included in the W1.3 Purpose and Audience data.

Page X of XX

Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JO0J003

Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies Student Rosters

The Student Roster provides detail information for each student assessed, reported by class or group. The detail information includes student subscores for each high school content standard assessed in mathematics and science. Social studies subscores are reported at the domain level. Page numbers are printed in the center at the bottom of each report page. Sample student rosters for mathematics, science, and social studies are presented on the following three pages.

Section A identifies the title of the report, the grade level reported, the assessment cycle, and the subject area. The teacher name and class/group code (if provided by the school), the school name and code, and the district name and code are also provided.

Section B lists each student's name followed by their unique identification code (UIC) and date of birth (DOB). The number of students reported is also provided.

Section C provides the following information, detailed by student:

- Scale Score
- Performance Level
- The following information reported by standard (mathematics and science) or by domain (social studies):
 - ♦ Number of possible points
 - Number of points earned by the student

NOTE: In Spring 2008, mathematics subscores began to be reported by standard. Since Spring 2009, science subscores are also reported by standard.

NOTE: "NA" in the Performance Level column indicates that the student did NOT receive a valid MME score in that subject area and does NOT count as assessed for AYP. Any of the five issues listed below will result in the student receiving an MME score that is NOT valid:

- 1) student received a **nonstandard accommodation** during test administration (standard subscore data will be reported)
- 2) student did not meet attemptedness in one or more of the required components for that subject
- 3) student was dismissed for **prohibited behavior** during the test administration
- 4) student was involved in a test **misadministration** on the part of the school
- 5) student did not include the form code on their answer document (answer document could not be scored)



STUDENT ROSTER (A)

MME MATHEMATICS

Grade 11 Spring 2011



Class/Group: 9999

School Name : SAMPLE SCHOOL

School Code: 99999

| Scale Score Performance Level L1 Number Reasoning L2 Calculations L3 Logic & Proof A1 Equations A2 Function Families G2 Figure Properties G3 Transformations S1 Univariate Data S2 Bivariate Data S4 Probability | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| W P F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F | |
| Possible Points 6 8 2 11 5 4 17 1 2 3 2 1 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxx I. | |
| UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1999 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxx I. | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxx I. | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxx I. UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1999 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxx I. NA NA UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1999 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | |
| No. of Students Reported = 14 | |

Performance Level 1 - Advanced

Scale Score Range

2 - Proficient

(1100 - 1127)

3 - Partially Proficient

(1089 - 1099)

4 - Not Proficient (950 - 1088) NA - Score Data unavailable in this subject for this student because valid data for one or more components of the subject are not available. See Guide to Reports for more information. * Student does not count as assessed due to a nonstandard accommodation.

Page 1 of 8

Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JO0J004





Grade 11 Spring 2011 **MME SCIENCE**



Class/Group: 9999

School Name : SAMPLE SCHOOL

School Code: 99999

| | | | | | | | | | | Subs | cores | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Scale Score | Performance Level | R1 Inquiry-Reflection | E2 Earth Systems | E3 The Solid Earth | E4 The Fluid Earth | E5 Space & Time | B2 Org of Living Sys | B3 Environment | B4 Genetics | B5 Evolution | P2 Motion of Objects | P3 Forces & Motion | P4a Energy Part a | P4b Energy Part b | C23 Energy Conserv | C4 Prop of Matter | C5 Changes in Matter | |
| Possible Points | | | 22 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx I. UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1999 | 1071 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1095 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1032 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| ALnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1066 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1094 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1086 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1076 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1121 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1128 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1115 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx I. UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1999 | 1105 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | NA | NA | | | | | | | | | (| C) | | | | | | | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1115 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | |
| Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx I. UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1999 | 1099 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| No. of Students Reported = 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

19

Performance Level

1 - Advanced 2 - Proficient (1100 - 1142) 3 - Partially Proficient (1087 - 1099) 4 - Not Proficient (950 - 1086)

NA - Score Data unavailable in this subject for this student because valid data for one or more components of the subject are not available. See Guide to Reports for more information. * Student does not count as assessed due to a nonstandard accommodation.

Page 1 of 8 Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JO0J005



STUDENT ROSTER (A)



Grade 11 Spring 2011 **MME SOCIAL STUDIES**

Class/Group: 9999

School Name : SAMPLE SCHOOL

School Code: 99999

| | | | | | Su | bscor | es | | | | Ĺ | | Su | bscore | es | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| | | Scale Score | Performance Level | World History & Geography | US History & Geography | Civics | Economics | Inquiry | | Scale Score | Performance Level | World History & Geography | US History & Geography | Civics | Economics | Inquiry |
| - | Possible Points | | | 10 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6 | Possible Points | | | 10 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1133 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1098 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $\overline{}$ | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1101 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1060 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| D) | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1111 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 5 | UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1999 Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxx Fnamexxxxxxxxxxx I. UIC: 1234567890 DOB: 01/01/1999 | 1148 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 5(| C) | 3 |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1133 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 3 | No. of Students Reported = 14 | | | | | | | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1148 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1148 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1101 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1163 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1117 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1091 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1114 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1111 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | NA | NA | | | C) | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1148 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | Lnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | 1123 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 3 | | | | | | | | |

Performance Level Scale Score Range 1-Advanced (1129 - 1250)

2-Proficient (1100 - 1128) 3-Partially Proficient (1086 - 1099) 4-Not Proficient (950 - 1085)

NA - Score Data unavailable in this subject for this student because valid data for one or more components of the subject are not available. See Guide to Reports for more information. * Student does not count as assessed due to a nonstandard accommodation.

Page 4 of 4 Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JO0J006

Student Record Label

A Student Record Label is provided for each student assessed during the Spring 2011 cycle. The labels are mailed to the school for placement in the student record file (CA-60).

Section A contains the district name and code and the school name and code.

Section B contains the student's name, district student ID number (if provided by the school), student's state unique identification code (UIC), date of birth, gender, grade level when the assessment was administered, and the MME administration cycle.

Section C contains MME subject areas assessed, the scale score received, and the performance level the student attained in each subject area:

Level 1 - Advanced

Level 2 - Proficient

Level 3 – Partially Proficient

Level 4 - Not Proficient



99999 SAMPLE DISTRICT 99999 SAMPLE SCHOOL

STU# 09876543210987654321 UIC# 1234567890

DOB - 01/01/1999

Gender - M

Grade - 11



Spring 2011

mme™

| Subject | Scale Score | Performance Level |
|----------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Reading | 1202 | 1-Advanced |
| Writing | 1072 | 3-Partially Proficient |
| Mathematics | 1075 | 4-Not Proficient |
| Science | 1091 | 3-Partially Proficient |
| Social Studies | 1107 | 2-Proficient |

Parent Report

The intent of the Parent Report is to provide a summary description of student performance in each subject area assessed on the MME for each student's parent. This report is designed to help parents and guardians identify the academic strengths of their student and areas that may need improvement. Information from this report may be helpful when discussing academic progress of the student with the classroom teacher(s). High schools must have a plan for distributing the Parent Reports to parents and guardians so that distribution can occur as soon as possible when the printed reports arrive.

Section A identifies the title of the report, the grade level the student was in when the assessment was administered, the assessment cycle, the district name and code, and the school name and code where the student was enrolled at the time the assessment was administered.

Section B provides the name and state unique identification code (UIC) of the student.

Section C provides a general description of the four possible student performance levels.

Section D provides information to parents about how to interpret and use this report.

Section E provides a letter to parents from Michigan's Superintendent of Public Instruction concerning their student's academic achievement on the MME.

Section F provides a summary of students' academic achievement on the MME including scale scores and performance levels for each subject.

Section G describes the multiple components of the MME and provides instruction on how to find additional assistance interpreting the Parent Report.

Section H provides student results on the ACT assessments.

Section I provides student results on the WorkKeys assessments, including National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC) eligibility.

Sections J1-J6 describe how the student performed in each subject area, on each subject area standard or domain, and the total points possible for the standard/domain. The brief explanation for each subject area provides the performance level score the student attained, as well as information on how the student's performance relates to Michigan high school standards. For example, if a student received a Level 2 on the MME mathematics assessment, that student is "Proficient" in Michigan high school mathematics standards. A graph is provided for each subject to visually depict that subject's scale score ranges, cut scores, and the student's scale score in that subject. The horizontal bar that extends out left and right of the student's scale score represents the standard error of measurement. This standard error represents the range in which the student's score would fall if they had taken the same test or a similar test on a different day.

NOTE: The MME results for individual students are most reliable at the subject area scale-score level. These scale scores also are reliably associated with a performance level. Parents can have confidence that the reported subject area scale scores and performance levels provide accurate information for each subject.

Student subscores for standards or domains are also provided in these Parent Reports. These are less reliable measures than subject scores and performance levels because there are fewer items within standards and domains than on the total subject test. These results provide an approximate measure of the level of performance of the student.

Parents should be careful in drawing conclusions about a student's strengths or weaknesses at the standard or domain level. It is more appropriate to use this standard and domain information together with classroom assessment data, teacher-provided information, and other performance information to guide a student's learning activities.

Page 4

What is the Michigan Merit Examination?

The Michigan Merit Examination (MME) includes three major components: the ACT college entrance examination, WorkKeys job skills assessments, and Michigan developed assessments. Together, these three components round out the coverage of Michigan's high school core content expectations.

The ACT is the most widely accepted college entrance examination in the United States. It assesses high school students' general educational development and their potential to be successful in college-level coursework. The ACT exam includes assessments of English Mathematics, Reading, Science, and Writing. The ACT results are accepted by most colleges If you have questions about the MME or this report, please talk to and universities for college entrance

WorkKeys is a job skills assessment system measuring real-world skills, designed to support economic and workforce development programs. The MME WorkKeys components assess Reading for Information, Applied Mathematics, and Locating Information. Skills assessed by WorkKeys are valued by Michigan employers, colleges, and technical training institutions. Students who receive qualifying scores on the three WorkKeys assessments taken as part of the MME are eligible for the National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC)

Qualified English Language Learner students who received translation assistance, in a language other than English, for WorkKeys test items are not eligible for the NCRC

The Michigan developed components of the MME include aspects of Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies that are not covered by the ACT or WorkKeys assessments

your student's counselor or principal, who will be able to assist you in interpreting this information.

For more information, please visit www.michigan.gov/mme

Parent Report

Grade 11 Spring 2011



C

(D)

District 99999 - SAMPLE DISTRICT School 99999 - SAMPLE SCHOOL

Report For:

UIC:1234567890

Dear Parent or Guardian:



C----

Examination (MME). The MME measures the academic content that every student needs to know and do to be career- and college-ready upon graduation. The test is based on Michigan's rigorous high school content standards that are needed in order that every student can be successful in this globally competitive knowledge economy. MME results provide a valid and reliable assessment of

The MME includes three major components: the ACT Plus Writing[™] college entrance exam; WorkKeys M job skills assessments in Reading for Information, Applied Mathematics, and Locating Information; and the Michigan-developed assessments in mathematics, science, and social studies.

In addition to measuring individual student performance on the Michigan high school content standards, the MME provides students with a free college entrance exam and eligibility for a National Career Readiness Certificate based on sufficient WorkKeys™ scores.

Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx will receive separate score reports for the ACT Plus Writing™ college entrance exam and the WorkKeysTM job skills assessment from ACT, Inc., but the scores also are included in this MME report for your convenience. While you are receiving these scores now, the curriculum, and instruction in light of the MME scores. The process of printing, shipping, and distributing hard-copy reports causes a delay between the release of the data file to schools and receipt of hard-copy reports for parents and guardians. Schools are directed to send hard-copy reports to parents immediately after they are received.

I encourage you to discuss these results with teachers and other school professionals who have the benefit of knowing your student on a more personal, academic level. These professionals can use the MME results, and other assessment and classroom performance information, to provide a more complete analysis and to help you plan for your student's continued learning. Students have a greater opportunity to succeed academically when parents, teachers, and counselors work togethe

25



Mike Flanagan Superintendent of Public Instruction State of Michigan

Michigan Merit Evam Results for Enameyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy

| | Michigan Ment Exam Results for | I Halliexxxxx | ****** | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--|
| | Subject | Scale Score | Performance Level | |
| | MME Mathematics | 1127 | 2-Proficient | |
| ١ | MME Science | 1128 | 2-Proficient | |
| / | MME Reading | 1157 | 2-Proficient | |
| | MME Writing | 1145 | 2-Proficient | |
| | MME Social Studies | 1169 | 1-Advanced | |
| | | | | |

Performance Level Definitions

Level 1: Advanced

The student's performance exceeds state high school standards and indicates substantial understanding and application of key concepts defined for Michigan students. The student needs support to continue to excel.

Level 2: Proficient

The student's performance indicates understanding and application of key state high school standards defined for Michigan students. The student needs continued support to maintain and improve proficiency

Level 3: Partially Proficient

The student needs assistance to improve achievement. The student's performance is not yet proficient, indicating a partial understanding and application of the state high school standards defined for Michigan students

Level 4: Not Proficient

The student needs intensive intervention and support to improve achievement. The student's performance is not yet proficient and indicates minimal understanding and application of the state high school standards defined for Michigan students.

Care should be exercised in interpreting the results of this assessment. Although the tests are administered under standardized conditions, each score reflects performance on a given day. The scale scores are the most reliable. Because each subscore represents only a few items, subscores are more likely to vary among students and test administrations.

We encourage parents to discuss these results with your student's teachers, counselor, or principal. They can provide more information by using results from other assessments and classroom performance. Your student's teachers and counselor are in the best position to provide guidance in designing appropriate instruction for your student

Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JIRT001

ACT:

Students took the ACT as one part of the MME. The ACT consists of four multiple-choice tests (English, Mathematics, Reading, and Science) and a Writing test. Selected items from the ACT contributed to your student's MME subject scores. In addition, your student's ACT scores provide a measure of college readiness. The four multiple-choice ACT tests are scored on a scale of 1-36. The ACT Composite score is the average of the scale scores for the four multiple-choice tests. The ACT Writing Test is scored on a range of 2–12. An English/Writing score is also provided on a scale of 1-36 and is based on the ACT English Test and the ACT Writing Test.

A student who met ACT's College Readiness Benchmarks is generally ready to succeed in first-year college-level work. The Benchmark scores are: 18 for English, 22 for Math, 21 for Reading, and 24 for Science.

| Component | Score | Component | Score |
|-------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| English | 27 | English/Writing | 28 |
| Mathematics | 24 | Writing | 10 |
| Reading | 33 | | |
| Science | 27 | | |
| Composite | 28 | | |
| · | | | |

Campanant

WorkKeys Reading for Information, Applied Mathematics, and Locating Information assess real-world skills employers consider critical to job success. The assessments measure portions of the content in the

Selected items from the WorkKeys contributed to your student's MME Math, Reading, and Social Studies scale scores. The Level Scores for the WorkKeys tests range from 3-7 for Reading for Information and Applied Mathematics, and 3-6 for Locating Information. The bottom and top of the ranges indicate the lowest and highest levels of complexity. Each level is built on the previous one. A score at Level 5 means the test taker has successfully met the requirements of Levels 3 and 4. The test scores relate to the skill ranges and how the test taker performs relative to the ranges. Additional information on understanding and using the WorkKeys scores and earning the National Career Readiness Certificate can be located at http://www.act.org/workkeys/pdf/UsingWorkKeysScores.pdf. More information about WorkKeys can be located at http://www.act.org/workkeys/.

Students may qualify for a National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC) based on WorkKeys Level scores earned during the Spring 2011 MME. Eligibility for a bronze credential requires at least a Level 3, a silver credential requires at least a Level 4, a gold credential requires at least a Level 5, and a platinum credential requires at least a Level 6 on all three WorkKeys tests

Qualified ELL students who received translation assistance, in a language other than English, for WorkKeys test items are not eligible for the NCRC.

| Component | Score/Level |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Reading for Information | 6 |
| Applied Mathematics | 5 |
| Locating Information | 5 |
| National Career Readiness Certificate Eligibility ** | Gold |

** For more information go to http://www.act.org/certificate/use.html

Fnamexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx I. Lnamexxxxxxxxxx UIC:1234567890

Mathematics and Science

Page 2

MME Mathematics: Your student's mathematics scale score is reported on the graph below

| | Level 4 Not Proficient | 3 Level 2 | Level 1 Advanced | |
|-----|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------|
| | | 1127 | | |
| 950 | | 1100 | | 1250 |

| | Mathematics Subscores | Points Earned | Points Possible | % Correc |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | L1 Reasoning about Numbers | 4 | 6 | 67% |
| 4 | L2 Calculations, Algorithms | 5 | 8 | 63% |
| ッ | L3 Math Reasoning, Logic & Proof | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| | A1 Expressions & Equations | 11 | 11 | 100% |
| | A2 Functions | 4 | 5 | 80% |
| | A3 Families of Functions | 2 | 4 | 50% |
| | G1 Figures & Properties | 10 | 17 | 59% |
| | G2 Relationships between Figures | 0 | 1 | 0% |
| | G3 Transformations of Figures | 1 | 2 | 50% |
| | S1 Univariate Data: Distributions | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| | S2 Bivariate Data: Relationships | 1 | 2 | 50% |
| | S4 Probability Models, Operations | 1 | 1 | 100% |
| | | | | |

The goal of mathematics education is for all students to develop mathematical power to participate fully as citizens and workers in our contemporary world. High school mathematics includes the study of (a) quantitative literacy and logic; (b) algebra and functions; (c) geometry and trigonometry; and (d) statistics and

A STUDENT AT THE PROFICIENT LEVEL:

Performed mathematical skills, understood concepts and solved problems consistent with high school content expectations. With instructional support, the student should maintain and improve proficiency

MME Science: Your student's science scale score is reported on the graph below

| Level 4 Not Proficient | 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 Advanced |
|------------------------------|---|---------|---------------------|
| | | 1128 | |
| 920 | 0 | 1100 | 1250 |

| | Science Subscores | Points Earned | Points Possible | % Correct |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | R1 Inquiry & Reflection | 18 | 22 | 82% |
| | E2 Earth Systems | 1 | 2 | 50% |
| | E3 The Solid Earth | 0 | 2 | 0% |
| ٨ | E4 The Fluid Earth | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| 9 | E5 Earth in Space & Time | 1 | 2 | 50% |
| | B2 Organization of Living Systems | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| | B3 Living Systems & Environment | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| | B4 Genetics | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| | B5 Evolution & Biodiversity | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| | P2 Motion of Objects | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| | P3 Forces & Motion | 1 | 2 | 50% |
| | P4a Energy Part a | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| | P4b Energy Part b | 1 | 2 | 50% |
| | C23 Energy Transfer & Conservation | 1 | 2 | 50% |
| | C4 Properties of Matter | 0 | 2 | 0% |
| | C5 Changes in Matter | 0 | 2 | 0% |

During the initial high school years, all students learn to understand and critique scientific theories; they learn the general science concepts of the biological, the chemical, the physical world around them, and the elements and processes that make up and affect Earth. They construct new scientific knowledge by implementing inquiry skills to develop models that will test scientific hypotheses and evaluate theories about the universe. They gather and use quantitative and qualitative data to investigate and support scientific inquiry. They reflect on scientific knowledge by evaluating limitations of evidence used to support decisions about their lives and society

A STUDENT AT THE PROFICIENT LEVEL

Can design and conduct scientific investigations based on guestions. manipulate and adjust scientific variables, and have an integrated knowledge of basic biological, chemical, Earth science, and physical science concepts They have an adequate basis in critical thinking and investigative skills applicable for daily life situations. With instructional support, these students should maintain and improve proficiency.

Points Points

17 18 94%

13 15 87%

Earned Possible Correct

12

83%

100% 6

MME Reading: Your student's reading scale score is reported on the graph below

The reading assessment measures reading comprehension by requiring students to derive meaning from several types of texts including narrative, expository, and workplace communications such as letters, instructions, and governmental regulations. Determining main ideas, locating and interpreting significant details, identifying relationships, understanding the meaning of words, and drawing conclusions are all skills that are assessed. The overall emphasis is on higher-order levels of thinking, such as analysis and synthesis of information from J3 several content areas including literature, humanities, social science, and natural science

| | Level 4 Not Proficient | | 3 | Level 2 Proficient | Level 1 Advanced | |
|-----|------------------------------|------|------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| | | | | 11 | 57 | |
| 920 | | 1078 | 4400 | 3 | 1158 | 1250 |

Reading Subscores A STUDENT AT THE PROFICIENT LEVEL: R2.1 Strategy Development Comprehended more challenging narrative and informational text by referring to what was explicitly stated and used reasoning skills to draw inferences R2.2 Meaning Beyond Literal analyze, and synthesize text consistent with high school expectations. With R2.3 Independent Reading instructional support, the student should maintain and improve proficiency. L3.1 Close Literature Reading

MME Writing: Your student's writing scale score is reported on the graph below.

The MME Writing assessment contains two separate measures of writing: The ACT Writing test and the ACT English test. The ACT Writing test is a direct measure of students' ability to write persuasively about a given topic. The ACT English test uses multiple-choice items to test revising and editing of standard conventions of written English (punctuation, grammar and usage, sentence structure, organization and style) in context within various writing formats. Your student's constructed response to the ACT writing prompt and the responses to the multiple-choice items on the ACT English test contribute to the MME Writing



| Writing Subscores | Points Earned | Points Possible | % Correct |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| W1.1 Writing Process | 12 | 15 | 80% |
| W1.3 Purpose and Audience | 23 | 27 | 85% |
| W1.4 Inquiry and Research | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| LAN4.1 Effective Use of Language | 12 | 13 | 92% |

A STUDENT AT THE PROFICIENT LEVEL:

Demonstrated understanding of the Michigan High School Content Expectations measured by the two portions of the writing test. With instructional support, the student should maintain writing achievement and knowledge about the process and conventions of writing.

MME Social Studies: Your student's social studies scale score is reported on the graph below.

The goal of Social Studies is to prepare students to be responsible citizens. Responsible citizens demonstrate knowledge of history, civics and government, economics, and geography, as well as have the ability to apply this knowledge to everyday life. Thinking skills developed within the Social Studies curriculum must be practiced and applied as a way to maintain our constitutional democracy, to respect core democratic values, and to understand the global connections of modern society. High school students need to evaluate different viewpoints when making decisions about public concerns.

| | Level 4 Not Proficient | 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 Advanced | |
|-----|------------------------------|------|---------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | 1169 | |
| 920 | | 1086 | 1100 | 1129 | |

A STUDENT AT THE ADVANCED LEVEL:

Analyzed, synthesized, and applied social studies information and concepts. These students are using key social studies knowledge and skills in their decisions as they become responsible citizens in a democratic society. The advanced student needs support to continue to excel.

| Social Studies Subscores | Points Earned | Points Possible | % Correct |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| World History & Geography | 9 | 10 | 90% |
| US History & Geography | 10 | 10 | 100% |
| Civics | 6 | 6 | 100% |
| Economics | 3 | 6 | 50% |
| Inquiry | 5 | 6 | 83% |

What is Standard Error of Measurement (----)?

The diamond indicates your disents each score for the tested subject. This is your student's overall subject scale score and is used to determine the level your student achieved. The horizontal bar indicates the Standard Error of Measurement. If your student had taken this same test or a similar test on another day, he/she would likely have scored within this range.

Individual Student Report

The intent of the Individual Student Report is to provide detailed performance information about an individual student to teachers and other school personnel. A sample individual student report is presented on the following page. High schools will find the information reflecting student strengths and weaknesses, ACT results, and level of the National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC) eligibility useful when discussing MME performance levels with a student before filing the report in the student's record file.

Section A identifies the title of the report, the grade level, the assessment cycle, the district name and code, and the school name and code.

Section B contains the student demographic information provided by the school: student name, local district student ID number, the student's state unique identification code (UIC), date of birth, as well as subgroup classifications for English language learner, Formerly Limited English Proficient (FLEP), special education, gender, and ethnicity.

Section C contains MME subjects, the scale score received, and performance level the student attained in each area.

Section D provides individual student data for each MME subject area, an indicator of whether the student tested with accommodations in that subject, and subscores within the subject. It includes the possible points and points earned, scale score, and performance level.

Section E displays the student's scores on the ACT as provided by ACT. If a student took the ACT with state-allowed accommodations, the ACT scores are not college reportable and will be flagged as not college reportable with a footnote on the report.

Section F displays the student's scores on the WorkKeys as provided by ACT. If a student achieves a score of 5 or higher on all three WorkKeys tests, the student is eligible for a Gold National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC). A student achieving a score of 4 or higher on all three tests, is eligible for a Silver NCRC, and a score of 3 or higher on all tests, is eligible for a Bronze NCRC. Starting in 2011, ACT introduced the Platinum Level of the Certificate, which requires a score of 6 or higher on each of the three WorkKeys tests. The parent report contains a statement on the students' NCRC eligibility and explains that students who used a translated version (video or reader script accommodation) on one or more of the WorkKeys tests, are not eligible for the NCRC. For more information on the NCRC, please visit www.myworkkeys.com.



INDIVIDUAL STUDENT REPORT



Grade 11 Spring 2011

School Name: SAMPLE SCHOOL School Code:99999

District Name: SAMPLE DISTRICT District Code:99999

District Student ID: 09876543210987654321 State UIC: 1234567890 B English Language Learner: N Formerly LEP: N SpecEd: N

| Date of Birth:01/01/1999 Gender: F | Ethnicity: W | /hite (5) | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Michigan Merit Examination Subscores | Earned / Possible Points | Scale Score | Performance Level |
| MME Reading | | NA | NA |
| Accommodations: NonStandard | | | |
| Subscores: | | | |
| R2.1 Strategy Development | 8 / 18 | | |
| R2.2 Meaning Beyond Literal | 9 / 15 | | |
| R2.3 Independent Reading | 6 / 12 | | |
| L3.1 Close Literature Reading | 3 / 6 | | |
| MME Writing | | 1064 | 3-Partially Proficient |
| Accommodations: Standard | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| Subscores: | | | |
| W1.1 Writing Process | 5 / 15 | | |
| W1.3 Purpose & Audience | 8 / 27 | | |
| ACT Writing * | 2 / 12 | | |
| W1.4 Inquiry & Research | 1 / 2 | | |
| LAN4.1 Effective Use of Language | 4 / 13 | | |
| MME Social Studies | | 1076 | 4-Not Proficient |
| Accommodations: Standard | | | |
| Subscores: | | | |
| World History & Geography | 4 / 10 | | |
| US History & Geography | 2 / 10 | | |
| Civics | 1 / 6 | | |
| Economics | 0 / 6 | | |
| Inquiry | 1 / 6 | • | |
| | | | |

| | | | $\overline{}$ | | |
|-------------|-------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|
| | AC | T*** | E) | WorkKeys | (F) |
| Component | Score | Component | Score | Component | Score/Level |
| English | 11 | English/Writing | 08 | Reading for Information | 4 |
| Mathematics | 14 | Writing | 02 | Applied Mathematics | 3 |
| Reading | 17 | | | Locating Information | 3 |
| Science | 13 | | | National Career Readiness Certificate | Bronze |
| Composite | 14 | | | Eligibility ** | |

NA - Score Data are unavailable in this subject for this student because valid data for one or more components of

| Subject C | Scale Score | Performance Level | Subject | Scale Score | Performance Level |
|--------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| MME Reading | NA | NA **** | MME Mathematics | 1028 | 4-Not Proficient |
| MME Writing | 1064 | 3-Partially Proficient | MME Science | 1061 | 4-Not Proficient |
| MME Social Studies | 1076 | 4-Not Proficient | | | |

| Michigan Merit Examination Subscores | Earned / Possible Points | Scale Score | Performance Level |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| MME Mathematics | | 1028 | 4-Not Proficient |
| Accommodations: Standard | | | |
| Subscores: | | | |
| L1 Reasoning about Numbers | 3 / 6 | | |
| L2 Calculations, Algorithms | 1 / 8 | | |
| L3 Math Reasoning, Logic & Proof | 0 / 2 | | |
| A1 Expressions & Equations | 2 / 11 | | |
| A2 Functions | 1 / 5 | | |
| A3 Families of Functions | 1 / 4 | | |
| G1 Figures & Properties | 3 / 17 | | |
| G2 Relationships between Figures | 0 / 1 | | |
| G3 Transformations of Figures | 0 / 2 | | |
| S1 Univariate Data: Distributions | 1 / 3 | | |
| S2 Bivariate Data: Relationships | 1 / 2 | | |
| S4 Probability Models, Operations | 0 / 1 | | |
| | | | |
| MME Science | | 1061 | 4-Not Proficient |
| Accommodations: Standard | | | |
| Subscores: | | | |
| R1 Inquiry & Reflection | 6 / 22 | | |
| E2 Earth Systems | 2 / 2 | | |
| E3 The Solid Earth | 1 / 2 | | |
| E4 The Fluid Earth | 1 / 2 | | |
| E5 Earth in Space & Time | 1 / 2 | | |
| B2 Organization of Living Systems | 1 / 2 | | |
| B3 Living Systems & Environment | 0 / 2 | | |
| B4 Genetics | 1 / 2 | | |
| B5 Evolution & Biodiversity | 1 / 2 | | |
| P2 Motion of Objects | 1 / 2 | | |
| P3 Forces & Motion | 1 / 2 | | |
| P4a Energy Part a | 0 / 2 | | |
| P4b Energy Part b | 0 / 2 | | |
| C23 Energy Transfer & Conservation | 1 / 2 | | |
| C4 Properties of Matter | 0 / 2 | | |
| C5 Changes in Matter | 1 / 2 | | |

Students administered WorkKeys assessments with translated test materials are not eligible for the National Career Readiness Certificate (NCRC). Qualified ELL students who received translation assistance, in a language other than English, for WorkKeys test items are not eligible for the NCRC.

the subject are not available. See Guide to Reports for more information. * The ACT Writing score is included in the W1.3 Purpose and Audience subscore data.

^{**} For more information go to https://www.act.org/certificate/use.html; if "Not Eligible," See Guide to Reports.

Page XX of XXXX
**** ACT scores for this student are not college reportable as a result of using state-allowed accommodations not approved by ACT.

^{****} Student does not count as assessed due to a nonstandard accommodation.

Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P 1JO0J001

Demographic Report

The Demographic Report provides a summary breakdown of scores by demographic subgroup for each subject area assessed. A sample demographic report is presented on the following page. Summary data reported includes the number of students assessed in each subgroup, the mean scale score, the percentage of students attaining each performance level, and the percentage of students attaining "Advanced" and "Proficient" within each subject area. The Demographic Report is generated for three student populations:

- All students
- Students with disabilities (SWD)
- All except students with disabilities (AESWD)

The demographic subgroup scores are reported by school and district. The demographic subgroups reported are:

- Gender
- Ethnicity
- Economically Disadvantaged (ED)
- English Language Learners (ELL)
- Formerly Limited English Proficient (FLEP)
- Miarant
- Homeless

Accommodations subgroups are also reported as follows:

- Standard accommodations (all students)
- Non-standard accommodations (all students)
- Standard accommodations (for English language learners)
- Non-standard accommodations (for English language learners)

Section A identifies the title of the report, the student population included in the report, the grade level, and the assessment cycle. The district name and code and school name and code, as appropriate, are also provided.

Section B lists the demographic subgroups, as well as the total student population being reported. Ethnicity subgroups are defined by federal requirements.

Section C reports the number of students included in the subgroup, the mean scale score, the percentage of students attaining each performance level, and the percentage of students attaining the "Advanced" and "Proficient" performance levels within each subject area.

This is a multiple-page report with reading and writing scores reported on one page and mathematics, science, and social studies scores reported on another page for each of the three student population groups:

- All students
- Students with disabilities (SWD)
- All except students with disabilities (AESWD)



DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHIC REPORT **All Students**





Grade 11 Spring 2011

| | MME READING | | | | | | | MME WRITING | | | | | | | | MME MATHEMATICS | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | No. of | Mean | | | ercent a | | | No. of | Mean | | | ercent a | | | No. of | Mean | | | ercent a | | | | |
| District | Students Assessed | Scale Score | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | Levels 1 & 2 * | Students Assessed | Scale Score | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | Levels 1 & 2 * | Students Assessed | Scale Score | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | Levels 1 & 2 * | | |
| Total All Students | 109 | 1096 | 18 | 28 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 112 | 1079 | 12 | 61 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 108 | 1093 | 33 | 22 | 33 | 11 | 44 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | C | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 51 | 1090 | 24 | 31 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 52 | 1064 | 21 | 58 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 49 | 1090 | 37 | 20 | 35 | 8 | 43 | | |
| Female | 58 | 1102 | 14 | 26 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 1092 | 3 | 63 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 59 | 1095 | 31 | 24 | 32 | 14 | 46 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (B) Asian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black or African American | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 70 | 1100 | 14 | 23 | 63 | 0 | 63 | 72 | 1082 | 10 | 57 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 69 | 1095 | 32 | 23 | 32 | 13 | 45 | | |
| Two or more races | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic of any race | 36 | 1090 | 22 | 42 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 37 | 1072 | 16 | 68 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 36 | 1091 | 33 | 22 | 36 | 8 | 44 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Additional Reporting Groups | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged: Yes | 65 | 1087 | 20 | 38 | 42 | 0 | 42 | 67 | 1068 | 18 | 69 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 64 | 1086 | 44 | 22 | 30 | 5 | 34 | | |
| No | 44 | 1110 | 16 | 14 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 45 | 1096 | 2 | 49 | 49 | 0 | 49 | 44 | 1103 | 18 | 23 | 39 | 20 | 59 | | |
| English Language Learners: Yes | 18 | 1078 | 39 | 50 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 18 | 1062 | 22 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1084 | 44 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 28 | | |
| No | 91 | 1100 | 14 | 24 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 94 | 1082 | 10 | 57 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 90 | 1094 | 31 | 21 | 34 | 13 | 48 | | |
| Formerly Limited English Proficient | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Homeless | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accommodations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard All | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Nonstandard All ** | < 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard ELL Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nonstandard ELL Only ** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |


Value might not equal the exact sum of Level 1 & Level 2 due to rounding.
 ** Students not included in Number of Students Assessed.

< 10 = No summary scores provided if fewer than 10 students. Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JO0F003

Page 1 of 6



DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHIC REPORT





District Name: FENNVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS District Code: 03050

Grade 11 Spring 2011

| | | MME SCIENCE | | | | | | | M | DIES | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------|----------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| | | No. of | Mean | l | | Percent a | | | No. of | Mean | l | | Percent a | | |
| District | | Students Assessed | Scale Score | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Levei 1 | Levels 1 & 2 * | | Scale Score | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | Levels 1 & 2 * |
| Total All Students | | 110 | 1092 | 29 | 19 | 51 | 1 | 52 | 109 | 1114 | 9 | 22 | 44 | 25 | 69 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | | 50 | 1091 | 30 | 22 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 49 | 1112 | 12 | 22 | 39 | 27 | 65 |
| Female | | 60 | 1094 | 28 | 17 | 53 | 2 | 55 | 60 | 1115 | 7 | 22 | 48 | 23 | 72 |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| R) Asian | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black or African American | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Isla | nder | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| White | | 71 | 1092 | 25 | 15 | 58 | 1 | 59 | 70 | 1116 | 9 | 20 | 46 | 26 | 71 |
| Two or more races | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | |
| Hispanic of any race | | 36 | 1093 | 33 | 28 | 39 | 0 | 39 | 36 | 1110 | 11 | 25 | 42 | 22 | 64 |
| Additional Reporting Groups | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged: | Yes | 65 | 1083 | 38 | 25 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 64 | 1107 | 11 | 25 | 50 | 14 | 64 |
| | No | 45 | 1106 | 16 | 11 | 71 | 2 | 73 | 45 | 1123 | 7 | 18 | 36 | 40 | 76 |
| English Language Learners: | Yes | 18 | 1082 | 50 | 22 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 18 | 1103 | 17 | 33 | 33 | 17 | 50 |
| | No | 92 | 1095 | 25 | 18 | 55 | 1 | 57 | 91 | 1116 | 8 | 20 | 46 | 26 | 73 |
| Formerly Limited English Proficient | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | |
| Migrant | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | |
| Homeless | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | |
| Accommodations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard All | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | |
| Nonstandard All ** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard ELL Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nonstandard ELL Only ** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*} Value might not equal the exact sum of Level 1 & Level 2 due to rounding.

Page 2 of 6

< 10 = No summary scores provided if fewer than 10 students. Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JO0F003

^{**} Students not included in Number of Students Assessed.

Summary Report

The Summary Report provides a comparative set of mean scale score information for the grade level by subject area and the percentage of students in the district or school (or for the entire state) at each performance level. A sample summary report is presented on the following two pages.

Section A identifies the title of the report, the student population included in the report, grade level, assessment cycle, district name and code, and school name and code, as appropriate.

Section B shows summary data for the current assessment and the preceding four years. The summary data by subject area includes number of students assessed, mean scale score, mean scale score margin of error¹, percentage of students attaining each performance level, and percentage of students attaining the "Advanced" and "Proficient" performance levels combined.

Section C gives summary data for each high school content standard (or domain in social studies). The summary data reported includes the number of students assessed in each subject, the descriptor for each content standard assessed, the mean points earned, the number of points possible, and the percentage of students scoring in each raw score range.

¹ Scale score margin of error is equivalent to the Mean score ±1 standard error of the mean. This is the likely range within which the true average scale score would fall for the students listed on this report.



DISTRICT SUMMARY REPORT **All Students**



District Name: SAMPLE DISTRICT District Code: 99999

Grade 11 Spring 2011

MME READING

| | * No. of | Scal | le Score | Performance Levels | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------------|------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Students Assessed | Mean | ** Margin of Error | 4-Not Proficient | 3-Partially Proficient | 2-Proficient | 1-Advanced | Levels 1 & 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Scale S | Scale Score Range | | 0-1250) | (950-1077) | (1078-1099) | (1100-1157) | (1158-1250) | (1100-1250) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 109 | 1096 | 1093-1100 | 18% | 28% | 53% | 0% | 53% | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 113 | 1097 | 1092-1102 | 21% | 31% | 47% | 1% | 48% | | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | 108 | 1095 | 1090-1100 | 23% | 31% | 44% | 1% | 45% | | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 100 | 1092 | 1086-1098 | 27% | 27% | 45% | 1% | 46% | | | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 93 | 1092 | 1085-1099 | 23% | 29% | 48% | 0% | 48% | | | | | | | | | |

MME SCIENCE

| | - SCILING | NOL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | * No. of | Sca | le Score | Performance Levels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Students Assessed | Mean | ** Margin of Error | 4-Not Proficient | 3-Partially Proficient | 2-Proficient | 1-Advanced | Levels 1 & 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Scale S | core Range | (950-1250) | | (950-1086) | (1087-1099) | (1100-1142) | (1143-1250) | (1100-1250) | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 110 | 1092 | 1089-1096 | 29% | 19% | 51% | 1% | 52% | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 113 | 1087 | 1079-1095 | 34% | 21% | 41% | 4% | 45% | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | 108 | 1091 | 1084-1098 | 36% | 19% | 44% | 2% | 45% | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 102 | 1088 | 1080-1096 | 38% | 24% | 34% | 4% | 38% | | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 92 | 1092 | 1085-1099 | 34% | 21% | 42% | 3% | 46% | | | | | | | | |

MME WRITING

| | * No. of | Sca | le Score | Performance Levels | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------------|------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Students Assessed | Mean | ** Margin of Error | 4-Not Proficient | 3-Partially Proficient | 2-Proficient | 1-Advanced | Levels 1 & 2 | | | | | | | |
| Scale S | core Range | (95 | 0-1250) | (950-1050) | (1051-1099) | (1100-1145) | (1146-1250) | (1100-1250) | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 112 | 1079 | 1075-1082 | 12% | 61% | 28% | 0% | 28% | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 113 | 1073 | 1066-1080 | 19% | 60% | 20% | 1% | 21% | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | 110 | 1068 | 1060-1076 | 23% | 62% | 15% | 0% | 15% | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 102 | 1072 | 1066-1078 | 21% | 60% | 19% | 1% | 20% | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 85 | 1071 | 1064-1078 | 22% | 60% | 18% | 0% | 18% | | | | | | | |

MME SOCIAL STUDIES

| | VIIVIE | SUCIAL | HAL STUDIES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Г | | * No. of | Sca | le Score | Performance Levels | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ľ | 'ear | Students Assessed | Mean | ** Margin of Error | 4-Not Proficient | 3-Partially Proficient | 2-Proficient | 1-Advanced | Levels 1 & 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sc | Scale Score Range | | (950-1250) | | (950-1085) | (1086-1099) | (1100-1128) | (1129-1250) | (1100-1250) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 011 | 109 | 1114 | 1111-1116 | 9% | 22% | 44% | 25% | 69% | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 010 | 113 | 1114 | 1109-1119 | 12% | 13% | 55% | 19% | 74% | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 009 | 110 | 1112 | 1107-1117 | 16% | 13% | 50% | 21% | 71% | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 800 | 103 | 1113 | 1109-1117 | 10% | 17% | 54% | 19% | 74% | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 007 | 88 | 1113 | 1109-1117 | 10% | 15% | 53% | 22% | 75% | | | | | | | | | |

MME MATHEMATICS

| | . 1817 1111 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | * No. of | Sca | le Score | Performance Levels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Students Assessed | Mean ** Margin of Error | | 4-Not Proficient | 3-Partially Proficient | 2-Proficient | 1-Advanced | Levels 1 & 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Scale S | core Range | (950-1250) | | (950-1088) | (1089-1099) | (1100-1127) | (1128-1250) | (1100-1250) | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 108 | 1093 | 1089-1096 | 33% | 22% | 33% | 11% | 44% | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 113 | 1088 | 1081-1095 | 39% | 16% | 41% | 4% | 45% | | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | 107 | 1091 | 1086-1096 | 35% | 21% | 42% | 2% | 44% | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 100 | 1089 | 1083-1095 | 45% | 18% | 32% | 5% | 37% | | | | | | | | |
| 2007 | 93 | 1089 1083-1095 | | 44% | 18% | 31% | 6% | 38% | | | | | | | | |

Due to rounding, percentages might not total 100%.

Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JISY001

Page 1 of 6

Includes students who received valid scores.
 This is the likely range within which the true mean scale score would fall for the students listed on this report.



DISTRICT SUMMARY REPORT

All Students



District Name: SAMPLE DISTRICT District Code: 99999

Grade 11 Spring 2011

| | 12 13-14 | 15-16 | 17-18 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|
| R2.1 Strategy Development 9.6 18 0 0 1 2 5 6 6 20 20 1 | 17 | 6 | 2 |
| R2.2 Meaning Beyond Literal 6.8 15 1 0 5 4 12 16 12 28 16 | 5 | 0 | |
| R2.3 Independent Reading 7.5 12 0 1 2 3 4 5 13 42 26 | 3 | | |
| L3.1 Close Literature Reading 2.6 6 6 22 21 23 17 7 5 | | | |
| MME Writing 112 0 1 2 3-4 5-6 7-8 9-10 11-12 13-15 16 | 18 19-21 | 22-24 | 25-27 |
| W1.1 Writing Process 6.3 15 0 2 4 23 29 19 14 7 2 | | | |
| W1.3 Purpose & Audience 13.8 27 0 0 0 2 4 6 13 8 29 2 | 5 13 | 1 | 0 |
| ACT Writing* 5.7 12 0 0 11 17 45 24 4 0 | | | |
| W1.4 Inquiry & Research 1.3 2 10 54 37 | | | |
| LAN4.1 Effective Use of Language 6.4 13 0 0 3 21 29 29 11 7 0 | | | |
| | 11 12-13 | 14-15 | 16-17 |
| L1 Reasoning about Numbers 2.5 6 4 22 29 21 16 6 2 | | | |
| L2 Calculations, Algorithms 3.2 8 3 19 20 14 17 17 6 5 0 | | | |
| L3 Math Reasoning, Logic & Proof 1.0 2 27 42 31 | | | |
| | 3 | | |
| A2 Functions 5 10 24 18 26 20 2 | | | |
| A3 Families of Functions 1.5 4 18 35 28 19 1 | | | |
| | 7 8 | 5 | 0 |
| G2 Relationships between Figures 0.4 1 63 37 | | | |
| G3 Transformations of Figures 1.1 2 19 51 30 | | | |
| S1 Univariate Data: Distributions 2.1 3 4 17 47 32 | | | |
| S2 Bivariate Data: Relationships 0.7 2 45 37 18 | | | |
| S4 Probability Models, Operations 0.7 1 34 66 | | | |
| MME Science 110 0 1 2 3-4 5-6 7-8 9-10 11-12 13-14 15 | 16 17-18 | 19-20 | 21-22 |
| R1 Inquiry & Reflection 10.4 22 0 0 0 5 9 17 18 24 13 | 3 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E2 Earth Systems 1.0 2 30 41 29 | | | |
| E3 The Solid Earth 0.6 2 45 48 7 | | | |
| E4 The Fluid Earth 0.8 2 42 41 17 | | | |
| E5 Earth in Space & Time 0.7 2 46 38 15 | | | |
| B2 Organization of Living Systems 1.1 2 18 49 33 | | | |
| B3 Living Systems & Environment 1.0 2 33 38 29 | | | |
| B4 Genetics 1.1 2 22 49 29 | | | |
| B5 Evolution & Biodiversity 1.1 2 22 45 34 | | | |
| P2 Motion of Objects 1.1 2 23 45 32 | | | |
| P3 Forces & Motion 1.2 2 19 45 35 | | | |
| P4a Energy Part a 1.1 2 25 44 32 | | | |
| P4b Energy Part b 0.7 2 42 46 12 | | | |
| C23 Energy Transfer & Conservation 1.1 2 23 46 31 | | | |
| C4 Properties of Matter 0.7 2 42 48 10 | | | |
| C5 Changes in Matter 0.7 2 42 43 15 | | | |
| MME Social Studies 109 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 10 | | |
| | 3 1 | | |
| | 3 | | |
| Civics 3.2 6 1 14 22 23 18 16 6 | | | |
| Economics 2.7 6 9 19 20 20 15 12 5 | | | |
| Inquiry 2.8 6 3 15 25 28 18 7 4 | | | |

^{*} The ACT Writing score is included in the W1.3 Purpose and Audience subscore data.

Due to rounding, percentages might not total 100%.

Page 2 of 6

Comprehensive Report

The Comprehensive Report provides a comparative set of mean scale score information for the grade level for the entire district and for each school in the district (for a district-level report). For an ISD report, it provides the data for the ISD as a whole and for each district and public school academy in the ISD. It also includes the percentage of students at each performance level. A sample **district** comprehensive report is provided on the following page.

Section A identifies the title of the report, the student population included in the report, grade level, assessment cycle, and district name and code.

Section B of a district comprehensive report provides a row of data for the district, and a row of data for each public school within the district. Each row includes the number of students assessed, the mean scale score and the percentage of students at each performance level along with the percentage of students who attained a performance level of Advanced and Proficient combined.

For an ISD comprehensive report, there is one row of data for the ISD, one row for each public school district in the ISD, and one row for each public school academy within the boundaries of the ISD.



DISTRICT COMPREHENSIVE REPORT (A)





All Students

Grade 11 Spring 2011

| | | MME READING | | | | | | | MME WRITING | | | | | | | | MME MATHEMATICS | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------|---------|------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| District | No. of Students Assessed | Mean Scale Score | Level 4 | Level 3 | ercent a Level 2 | t Level 1 | Levels | No. of Students Assessed | Mean Scale Score | Level 4 | Level 3 | Percent a Level 2 | at Level 1 | Levels 1 & 2 * | No. of Students Assessed | Mean Scale Score | Level 4 | Level 3 | Percent a Level 2 | at Level 1 | Levels | | | |
| SAMPLE DISTRICT | 109 | 1096 | 18 | 28 | 53 | 0 | 53 | | 1079 | 12 | 61 | 28 | 0 | | 108 | 1093 | 33 | 22 | 33 | 11 | 44 | | | |
| SAMPLE SCHOOL 1 SAMPLE SCHOOL 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAMPLE SCHOOL 1 | 104 | 1097 | 18 | 26 | 56 | 0 | 56 | 106 | 1082 | 9 | 61 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 104 | 1094 | 31 | 23 | 35 | 12 | 46 | | | |
| SAMPLE SCHOOL 2 | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Performance Level Reading Scale Score Range Writing Scale Score Range Mathematics Scale Score Range 1 & 2 - Advanced and Proficient (1100 - 1250) (1100 - 1250) (1100 - 1250) (1128 - 1250) 1 - Advanced (1158 - 1250) (1146 - 1250) 2 - Proficient (1100 - 1157) (1100 - 1145) (1100 - 1127) 3 - Partially Proficient (1078 - 1099) (1051 - 1099) (1089 - 1099) 4 - Not Proficient (950 - 1077) (950 - 1050) (950 - 1088)

Value might not equal the exact sum of Level 1 & Level 2 due to rounding.
 10 = No summary scores provided if fewer than 10 students.

Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JO0F001

Page 1 of 6



DISTRICT COMPREHENSIVE REPORT





All Students

Grade 11 Spring 2011

| | | | MME SOCIAL STUDIES | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|------|--------------------|----|------------------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------|----|------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| District | No. of Students Assessed | | Level 4 | | ercent a Level 2 | Level | Levels 1 & 2 * | No. of Students Assessed | Mean Scale Score | Level 4 | | ercent a Level 2 | Level | Levels 1 & 2 * |
| SAMPLE DISTRICT | 110 | 1092 | 29 | 19 | 51 | 1 | 52 | 109 | 1114 | 9 | 22 | 44 | 25 | 69 |
| B | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAMPLE SCHOOL 1 | 105 | 1094 | 28 | 18 | 53 | 1 | 54 | 105 | 1114 | 10 | 22 | 43 | 26 | 69 |
| SAMPLE SCHOOL 2 | < 10 | | | | | | | < 10 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

(1100 - 1250)

(1129 - 1250)

Performance Level 1 & 2 - Advanced and Proficient

Science Scale Score Range Social Studies Scale Score Range (1100 - 1250) 1 - Advanced (1143 - 1250) 2 - Proficient (1100 - 1142)

(1100 - 1128) 3 - Partially Proficient (1087 - 1099) (1086 - 1099) 4 - Not Proficient (950 - 1086) (950 - 1085)

Page 2 of 6

Spring 2011 Run Date: 06/02/2011 P1JO0F001

^{*} Value might not equal the exact sum of Level 1 & Level 2 due to rounding.

< 10 = No summary scores provided if fewer than 10 students.

Acronyms/Glossary

AYP: Adequate Yearly Progress

AESWD: All Except Students with Disabilities

BAA: Bureau of Assessment and Account-

ability

CA-60: Refers to the cumulative student re-

cord folder.

CR: Constructed Response

DOB: Date of Birth

ED: Economically Disadvantaged

ELL: English Language Learner

FLEP: Formerly Limited English Proficient

GLCE: Grade Level Content Expectation

GPCM: Generalized Partial Credit Model

HSCE: High School Content Expectation

IRT: Item Response Theory

ISD: Intermediate School District

LEP: Limited English Proficient

MC: Multiple Choice

MDE: Michigan Department of Education

MEAP: Michigan Educational Assessment

Program

MME: Michigan Merit Examination

MSDS: Michigan Student Database System

NCRC: National Career Readiness Certifi-

cate

SBE: State Board of Education

SWD: Students with Disabilities

UIC: Unique Identification Code

Contact Information

High school administrators, teachers, and counselors should become familiar with the report layouts and information contained in this document. If you have questions after reviewing this Guide to Reports, or need additional information about MME administration procedures, content, scheduling, appropriate assessment or accommodations for students with disabilities or the English language learners (ELLs), please contact the Michigan Department of Education, Bureau of Assessment and Accountability, using the contact information listed below:

Joseph Martineau, Ph.D., Executive Director Bureau of Assessment & Accountability

Vince Dean
Director, Office of Standards & Assessment

James A. Griffiths, Manager Office of Standards & Assessment

Andrew Middlestead, Test Development Manager Office of Standards & Assessment

Steven Viger, Manager Office of Psychometrics, Accountability, Research, & Evaluation

Martha Caswell, MME Project Manager Office of Standards & Assessment

Brian D. Ciloski, Analyst Office of Standards & Assessment Shiqi Hao, Ph.D., Psychometrician
Office of Psychometrics, Accountability, Research, & Evaluation

Linda Howley, Assessment Consultant Office of Standards & Assessment

Rodger H. Epp, Science Development Coordinator Office of Standards & Assessment

Wendy Gould, Reading Development Coordinator Office of Standards & Assessment

Kyle Ward, Mathematics Development Coordinator Office of Standards & Assessment

Chris Dennis, Writing/Social Studies Development Coordinator Office of Standards & Assessment

Phone: 1-877-560-8378 Fax: 517-335-1186

Web site: www.michigan.gov/mme

E-mail: <u>oeaa@michigan.gov</u>

Michigan State Board of Education

John C. Austin

Nancy Danhof

Marianne Yared McGuire

Kathleen N. Straus

Casandra E. Ulbrich

Daniel Varner

Eileen Lappin Weiser

Richard Zeile

Michael P. Flanagan - Superintendent of Public Instruction

Rick Snyder - Governor

2010/2011 STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION STRATEGIC GOAL

Significant and meaningful improvement in the academic performance of all students/children with major emphasis on the persistently lowest achieving schools and students . Revised September 14, 2010